



LECTURERS' AND LEARNERS' VIEWS ON CULTURE LEARNING IN EFL CONTEXT

Oleh

Sulviana

Universitas Islam Makassar

Email: sulviana.dty@uim-makassar.ac.id

Abstract

In English Language Teaching, the undeniable connection between language and culture has consistently been a focal point of consideration from various viewpoints. This way, the point of this examination is to explore Lecturers' and students' views on culture learning in an EFL setting. The analyst in this investigation utilized the subjective examination. The scientist chose this exploration Universitas Islam Makassar that could be acceptable sources and add to the specialists' understanding. The subjects of this examination are three Lecturers and the thirty understudies. The information about Lecturers' and language students' perspectives on culture instructing/learning was gathered through the semi-organized meeting. In breaking down the personal information, the specialist utilized repetitive information examination. The discovering shows Lecturers and understudies indicated inclination for creating uplifting demeanor and resistance towards target culture. They additionally favored elevating affectability to various societies as well as for their way of life. Other than that, they supported broadening understudies' viewpoints through culture learning.

Keywords: Lecturers' and Learners' Views, Culture Learning & EFL Context

INTRODUCTION

Language instructor programs everywhere globally and generally in Universitas Islam Makassar mean to prepare and create planned language Lecturers to turn out to be well-prepared Lecturers in all parts of language educating. Girik Allo, Rahman, and Sultan (2020), the instructors have power in education, especially in preparing the curriculum related to language learning. Nonetheless, if such projects have been investigated top to bottom, the semantic language education over shades culture and culture instructing. That is, instructor preparing programs do not give much accentuation to incorporate culture or culture showing projects, and in light of this need, language Lecturers generally battle to recognize social assets for their students, albeit each staff of such training program states the certainty of the division of culture and language (Byrd, 2014). In the EFL classroom case, Girik Allo (2018) investigates that learners need new knowledge about culture, especially intercultural communication, to face different situations globally. Be that as it may, we as instructors

educate and our understudies find out about the way of life of the L2/FL whether we incorporate it clearly in the educational plan. McLeod (1976), by showing a language, one is unavoidably previously showing society certainly.

People know that realizing an unknown dialect does not merely mean information on track language punctuation, phonetics, phonology, semantics, or an immense number of jargon, yet knowing the objective language culture as social development. Culture has been alluded to as the methods of people (Lado, 1957). The entire lifestyle of a people or a gathering (Montgomery & Reid-Thomas, 1994) incorporates all the social practices that secure a gathering of individuals together and recognize them from others.

People are also aware that in customary instruction programs, language educating was viewed as showing the structures and use of the objective language and such ramifications were additionally observed as delegates of social estimations of the objective language. The traditional view of culture has been seen as summarized by (Allen 1985), before the 1960s,



the lines between language and culture were carefully drawn. The essential explanation behind the following language (L2) concentration in the previous aspect of this century was admittance to the incredible scholarly works of art of progress. An emphasis on sociolinguistics resulted in a greater emphasis on the context and situation where the foreign or second language would be used. Savignon (1972) early investigation on informative fitness, for instance, recommended the benefit of preparing in open abilities from the earliest starting point of the FL program. Culture's part in the FL and L2 educational program developed, and influential works by Seelye (1974) and Lafayette (1975) showed up. Language learning is viewed as a social and social wonder as language utilization is identified with social and social qualities. Their open methodology expressed that more regular incorporation of language and culture happens through a more informative methodology than through a more linguistically based methodology. Language students must secure objective language and utilize these structures fittingly in social circumstances when they experience. The thought of open capability does not merely depend on linguistic fitness yet additionally incorporates sociolinguistic ability, vital skill, and talk fitness (Canale & Swain, 1980).

The idea of intercultural skill attests that as associating with individuals from unfamiliar societies, and intercultural able individual comprehends the way of life clear ideas of discernment, thinking, feeling, and acting. Be that a few masters think about the regularly available capacity model with some booking as it may. In any event, some others affirm the unnecessary of culture instructing, for instance, in his conspicuous article towards informative intercultural ability in ELT. With its stringent adherence to local speaker standards inside the objective language culture, it would seem, by all accounts, to be invalid in representing learning and utilizing a worldwide language in multifaceted settings. The incomparable idea, Bada (2000) and Genc and Bada (2005) brief us that familiarity with [native speaker] social

qualities and cultural attributes do not welcome the student to adjust to such qualities. Similarly, Smith (1976), featuring the English language's global status, records why culture is not required to educate the English language. There is no need for L2 speakers to disguise local speakers' social standards of that language, [since] the motivation behind training a worldwide language is to encourage the correspondence of students' thoughts and culture in an English medium (Genc & Bada, 2005).

This study reports the discoveries of an examination that explores Lecturers' and language students' perspectives on culture and culture instructing/learning in an EFL setting. The examination expects to uncover the peculiar (individual) perspectives on Lecturers and students concerning learning society and make sense of how far these perspectives are in line or jumble. This is significant since these perspectives may legitimately influence their instructing/learning over the long haul. Also, Lecturers' current practices in the English study hall can give an overall image of the current language education circumstance in Universitas Islam Makassar. Henceforth, the accompanying examination questions are planned: What is the Lecturers' and learners' views on culture and culture learning in the EFL context?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Among the examinations on the part of the culture in language instructing, Lessard-Clouston (1996) zeroed in on 16 Chinese Lecturers' perspectives on culture in both EFL setting learning and educating. Discoveries uncovered that Lecturers upheld the function of culture in their EFL setting learning; however, they recommended the requirement for a more noteworthy comprehension of how language zero in on EFL setting classes. In a similar report, L. Sercu (2002) explored whether and how much Flemish, English, French and German Lecturers uphold intercultural destinations and are happy to secure informative intercultural fitness through their unknown dialect educating. The information examined demonstrated that Flemish new dialect



Lecturers upheld the point of intercultural new dialects. They were eager to be Lecturers who create open intercultural capability in their understudies. Besides, Castro, Sercu, and Garcia (2004) explored how much Spanish Lecturers of English upheld social destinations, including the target to advance the procurement of intercultural skill. As a rule, information uncovered that Spanish new dialect Lecturers were eager to accomplish culture learning goals in new dialect instruction. In a worldwide examination with 424 Lecturers from seven nations, L. Sercu et al. (2005) pointed toward depicting a familiar unknown dialect culture instructor regarding perspectives and mentalities concerning intercultural skill educating and genuine showing practice, regardless of the nation in which s/he educates. Discoveries of the investigation uncovered two detailed educator profiles, i.e., the well-arranged unknown dialect instructor, who put stock in the significance of incorporating social into their homeroom rehearses, and the ominously arranged unknown dialect educator, who did not uphold this training. The information uncovered that no unmistakable relationship existed between Lecturers' convictions concerning the reconciliation of culture and how they formed their educating rehearses. Like those in different investigations, instructors appeared to attempt to educate culture into the educational plan (Lazar, 2001). The most recent examination directed in the field is probably led with imminent second language (L2) Lecturers to inspect how they are set up to show culture by analyzing techniques course prospectuses. Results demonstrated that a roundabout way to educate culture is predominant and recommended to empower fledgling Lecturers to be more ready to show culture in the L2 homeroom.

An audit of writing has indicated a little exploration of how Lecturers of English visualize intercultural ability instructing and their overall attitude towards it. One of these investigations is led by (Bayyurt 2000). She completed an examination in 25 distinctive EFL settings homerooms out in the open and private elementary and auxiliary schools in Istanbul to

discover non-local EFL setting Lecturers' perspectives towards the unknown dialect's social standards they are instructing. The outcome demonstrated that the Lecturers were worried about raising their understudies' attention towards objective language's social estimations. Nevertheless, one primary issue was that the non-local Lecturers were not utterly mindful of the objective language social qualities. Additionally, Atay, Kurt, Çamlıbel, Ersin, and Kaslıoğlu (2005) found that members knew about the significance of social measurement in language learning. Nevertheless, they were likewise mindful of their absence of information identified with the objective language culture(s) and that the instructing society included beyneç and Badlanguage's social estimations also examined 38 planned Lecturers of English in utterly university, Adana, Turkey. They examined understudies' considerations on the impacts of culture class they went to in the fall semester.

Nevertheless, the perspectives and thereof specialists in the field were watched to find out about culture; going to the way of life class has raised social mindfulness fitted finding out about culture concerning both local and target social orders. Another examination by Atay et al. (2005). They expected to explore the feelings and perspectives of Lecturers of English on intercultural capability instructing and to perceive how and how much these assessments and mentalities are reflected in their study hall applications. Information was gathered from 503 EFL Lecturers. The discoveries uncovered that language Lecturers appeared to know about the function of life in new dialect instruction; however, they do not regularly incorporate culture into their education to create intercultural capability. In the related writing, there are examines whether educator perspectives or student sees have been broken down independently, be that as it may, these investigations did not look at the two players' assessments in a similar report. One of the most, decent however not least examine that language lectured by Sarıçoban and Çalışkan (2011) which pointed toward researching the sorts of social



exercises do not understudies needed to have during their objective investigation language and the level at which understudies wanted to see those social segments in language homerooms. The information broken ring instrument was actualized on 95 private academies moderated, not studies, and the discoveries of the examination unmistakably indicated the sorts of social exercises understudies would appreciate in language study halls. They might want to do them at that level, their mentalities towards the objective culture, the degree of significance understudies join to the objective culture, and their comprehension of the culture. From the outcomes, it tends to be seen that a large portion of the understudies who partook in the investigation had uplifting perspectives towards the incorporation of social segments during their investigation of the English language.

To the extent we looked, the writing of the field shows contemplates whether on language students' inclinations or Lecturers' nevertheless they once in while research the two players simultaneously. Consequently, this examination focuses on revealing perspectives on Lecturers and students about learning society and making sense of how far these perspectives are in line or confuse.

METHOD

The researcher in this study employed qualitative research. As Mason (1996) brought up, personal exploration is whatever it may be, absolutely does not speak to abound together an arrangement of procedures or ways of thinking, and without a doubt, has become out of a broad scope of scholarly and disciplinary customs. At that point, Creswell (2007) quickly characterized the term personal exploration can allude to investigate dependent on visual information that does not make (ordinary) utilization of the measurable methodology. The motivations behind personal exploration are; Rich depiction, Qualitative Research regularly including the arrangement of care and nitty-gritty portrayals rather than evaluating information through estimations, frequencies, scores, and appraisals.

The motivations behind personal exploration are; *Rich portrayal*. Qualitative Research frequently includes the arrangement of care and definite depictions instead of evaluating information through estimations, frequencies, scores, and appraisals. Also, *Natural and* all-encompassing portrayal, Qualitative Research plans to consider people and occasions in their characteristic settings that is, as opposed to endeavoring to control relevant components (unessential factors) using labs or other fake situations, subjective specialists will, in general, be keener on introducing a reasonable and comprehensive image of the marvels being examined. This image incorporates both the more extensive socio-social setting (e.g., the philosophical directions of the discourse network all in all) and miniature level marvels (e.g., communication inside the homeroom).

Sample / Participants

The researcher selected this research Universitas Islam Makassar that could be good informants and contribute to the researchers' understanding. These research subjects are three Lecturers and the fifteen students (five representative students of three classes taught by the lecturers in this research). The first Lecturer teaches Cross Culture Understanding, the second, and the third Lecturer teaches Teaching Research on ELT. The subjects in this research were the researcher's lecturers at the English study program's undergraduate program. The researcher also chose the students who are taught by the lecturers to get the Lecturers' and learners' views of culture and culture learning in the EFL context.

Instrument

The data about Lecturers' and language learners' views of culture teaching/ learning was collected through semi-structured interviews. Dawson (2002) states that in a semi-structured interview, the researcher wants to know the specific information that can be compared and contrasted with information gained in other interviews. To do this, the same questions need to be asked in each interview. However, the researcher also wanted the interview to remain



flexible so that other important information can still arise. In this meeting, the analyst utilized a composed rundown of inquiries as a guide while having the opportunity to diverge and test for more data. The analyst met the English Study Program of Universitas Islam Makassar that to turn into this exploration subject.

Data analysis

After collecting the data from interviews, the researcher analyzed it with qualitative data analysis. In analyzing the qualitative data, the researcher used cyclical data analysis as supported by (Mackey & Gass, 2005); those stages consist of three procedures are as follows:

1. Data reduction

In this stage, the researcher considers several data: transcriptions of lecturers talk (from recordings and videos), interview transcriptions, field notes, questionnaires, and observations. All the data was reduced and organized, e.g., Coding, writing summary, separating important with irrelevant data. Dealing with the irrelevant data, the researcher still re-examined it if the researcher later analyzed it.

2. Data display

This stage dealt with: The Extraction of the data into the specific type based on the related theory, putting the data into a list and then displaying the data.

3. Tentative Conclusion and verification

In the third stage, the researcher concluded the findings from the research questions of numbers one, two, three, and four. Withdrawing some inferences are the third step after data presentation. The initial conclusions remained a temporary conclusion.

4. Conclusion

The last step is the conclusion. The tentative conclusion at the third step possibly changes if there is other valid evidence supporting another data collection. However, the initial conclusions supported by valid and consistent evidence make the conclusions strongly believed to be incredible and then conclude.

RESULT

The current examination has meant to research the perspectives on EFL Lecturers and understudies concerning the part of culture and culture educating in unknown dialect learning. Along these lines, this examination's discoveries may be advantageous for the field of unknown dialect instructing and instructor training. From the meeting, it is observable that Lecturers and understudies indicated an inclination for building up an uplifting disposition and resistance towards the objective culture. They additionally favored elevating affectability to various societies as well as for their way of life. Additionally, they supported extending understudies' viewpoints through culture and culture learning. Finally, Lecturers and students know about social perspectives, and accordingly, they wish to invest more energy in L2 culture in language courses.

The consequences of this examination demonstrated comparative results contrasted and numerous investigations in the field. Among numerous others, Bada (2000) concentrates likewise that Lecturers and understudies are exceptionally keen on instructing society in language educating, and they have inspirational mentalities towards culture. Moreover, concerning the conceivable social themes, this examination demonstrated that Lecturers and students favor giving/get involvement in a rich of an assortment of L2 culture; for example, both large C and little c social viewpoints are supported by members who are likewise in similar line with numerous investigate in the field.

When asked whether culture and language are fused, the individuals had different sentiments, and like this should be told in an organized way. Educators maintained the composition with their viewpoints, yet the understudies did not think about this. Among various others, McLeod (1976) confirm that whether Lecturers consolidate culture evidently, one is unavoidably demonstrating it unquestionably. In any case, understudies feel that they have to have a satisfactory raised degree



of language ability before learning the language's lifestyle.

Moreover, concerning social showing exercises, this investigation indicated that the regularly utilized strategy to encourage culture is through the course reading while at the same time instructing English and Lecturers seldom give extra materials to culture educating they center around language showing more than social educating. From general perceptions and experience, it very well may be expected that Lecturers have little opportunity to pick their showing materials on their own due to the reality of managerial reasons.

At long last, concerning Lecturers' dedication of time to culture instructing and their readiness to culture educating are introduced, the information demonstrated that Lecturers' commitment of time is not exactly the time given to language instructing; however, they express their eagerness to show culture in language homeroom. The reasons why Lecturers cannot dedicate more opportunity to culture instructing may lie on the over-burden educational program and educational plan restrictions, absence of time, being driven by assessment, and their absence of knowledge of unfamiliar societies.

CONCLUSIONS

From the finding above, it is perceptible that Lecturers and understudies demonstrated an inclination for building up an inspirational mentality and resistance towards the objective culture. They likewise favored elevating affectability to various societies as well as for their way of life. Other than that, they supported augmenting understudies' viewpoints through culture and culture learning. Finally, Lecturers and students know about social viewpoints, and in this way, they wish to invest more energy in L2 culture in language courses.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, W. (1985). Proficiency, curriculum, articulation: The ties that bind *Toward cultural proficiency* (pp. 137-166). Middlebury, VT: Northeast Conference.
- [2] Atay, D., Kurt, G., Çamlıbel, Z., Ersin, P., & Kashioglu, Ö. (2005). The Role of Intercultural Competence in Foreign Language Teaching. *Inonu University Journal of the Faculty of Education*, 10(3).
- [3] Bada, E. (2000). Culture in ELT. *Cukurova University Journal of Social Sciences*, 6, 100-110.
- [4] Bayyurt, Y. (2000). *Are your students cross-culturally capable?: Teaching of culture in EFL classrooms*. Paper presented at the First International ELT Research Conference, Çanakkale, Turkey.
- [5] Byrd, D. (2014). Learning to Teach Culture in the L2 Methods Course. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 11(1), 76-89.
- [6] Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1-47. doi: 10.1093/applin/I.1.1
- [7] Castro, P., Sercu, L., & Garcia, M. C. M. (2004). Integrating language-and-culture teaching: an investigation of Spanish teachers' perceptions of the objectives of foreign language education. *Intercultural Education*, 15(1), 91-104. doi: 10.1080/1467598042000190013
- [8] Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: CA: SageW.
- [9] Dawson, C. (2002). *Practical research methods: A user-friendly guide to mastering research techniques and projects*. United Kingdom: Oxford OX4 1RE.
- [10] Genc, B., & Bada, E. (2005). Culture in language learning and teaching. *The Reading Matrix*, 5(1), 73-84.
- [11] Girik Allo, M. D. (2018). Intercultural Communication in EFL Classrooms. *Ethical*



- Lingua: Journal of Language Teaching and Literature*, 5(2), 159-170. doi: 10.30605/ethicallingua.v5i2.1036
- [12] Girik Allo, M. D., Rahman, A., & Sultan. (2020). A critical discourse analysis on lecturers' language power in EFL teaching (An ethnography study at a higher education). *Asian EFL Journal*, 27(3.2), 177-201.
- [13] Lado, R. (1957). *Linguistics across cultures: Applied linguistics for language teachers*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- [14] Lafayette, R. (1975). *The cultural revolution in foreign languages: A guide for building the modern curriculum*. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company.
- [15] Lazar, I. (2001). *Incorporating intercultural communicative competence in pre and in-service teacher training*. Strasbourg: European Centre for Modern Languages, Council of Europe Publishing.
- [16] Lessard-Clouston, M. (1996). Chinese teachers' views of the culture in their EFL learning and Teaching. *Language, Culture, and Curriculum*, 9(3), 197-224.
- [17] Mackey, A., & Gass, M., S. (2005). *Second language research: methodology and design*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- [18] Mason, J. (1996). *Qualitative researching*. London: Sage.
- [19] McLeod, B. (1976). The relevance of anthropology to language teaching. *TESOL Quarterly*, 10(2), 211-220.
- [20] Montgomery, M., & Reid-Thomas, H. (1994). *Language and social life*. England: The British Council.
- [21] Sariçoban, A., & Çalışkan, G. (2011). The influence of target culture on language learners. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 7(1).
- [22] Savignon, S. (1972). *Communicative competence: An experiment in foreign language testing*. Philadelphia: Centre for Curriculum Development.
- [23] Seelye, H. (1974). *Teaching culture: Strategies for foreign language educators*. Skokie, IL: National Textbook Company.
- [24] Sercu, L. (2002). Implementing intercultural foreign language education. Belgian, Danish, and British teachers' professional self-concepts and teaching practices. *Evaluation and Research in Education*, 16(3), 150-165.
- [25] Sercu, L., Bandura, E., Castro, P., Davcheva, L., Laskaridou, C., Lundgren, U., . . . Ryan, P. (2005). *Foreign language teachers and intercultural competence: An international investigation* (Vol. 10). Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- [26] Smith, L. (1976). English as an international auxiliary language. *RELC Journal*, 7(2), 38-43. doi: 10.1177/0033688276007002



HALAMAN INI SENGAJA DIKOSONGKAN